

tax notes
international



**Italy Enacts Major Changes in
International Taxation With the
2000 Tax Bill**

by Luigi Perin

Reprinted from *Tax Notes Int'l*, 20 November 2000, p. 2345

TAX NOTES INTERNATIONAL

Copyright 2000, Tax Analysts

ISSN 1048-3306

Acting Editor: Robert Schoenhaus

Managing Editor: Maryam Enayat

Associate Editor: Erin Scott

Legal Editors: Robert Goulder, Althea Dressel

Online Magazine Editor: Paul M. Doster

Correspondents

Albania: Adriana Civici, Ministry of Finance, Tirana
Angola: Trevor Wood, Ernst & Young, Lisbon
Anguilla: Alex Richardson, Anguilla Offshore Finance Centre, Anguilla
Antigua: Donald B. Ward, PricewaterhouseCoopers Center, St. John's
Argentina: Cristian E. Rosso Alba, Hope, Duggan & Silva, Buenos Aires
Armenia: Souren Adamian, Tax Inspectorate, Yerevan
Australia: Richard Vann, University of Sydney Faculty of Law, Sydney; Graeme S. Cooper, University of Melbourne, Parkville
Austria: Friedrich Rödler, PricewaterhouseCoopers AG, Vienna
Bahamas: Hywel Jones, Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce Trust Company (Bahamas) Ltd., Nassau
Bangladesh: M. Mushtaque Ahmed, Ernst & Young, Dhaka
Barbados: Patrick B. Toppin, Pannell Kerr Forster, Christ Church
Belgium: Stefaan De Ceulaer, Tiberghien, Brussels
Bermuda: Wendell Hollis, Mello, Hollis, Jones & Martin, Hamilton
Bolivia: Gonzalo Ruíz Ballivián, KPMG Peat Marwick, Cochabamba
Botswana: I.O. Sennanyana, Deputy Director, Tax Policy, Ministry of Finance & Development Planning, Gaborone
Brazil: Joseph Wolf, PricewaterhouseCoopers, São Paulo; David Roberto Ressia e Soares da Silva, Baker & McKenzie, Chicago
British Virgin Islands: William L. Blum, Counsel to Grunert Stout Bruch & Moore, St. Thomas, U.S.V.I.
Bulgaria: Todor Tabakov, Sofia
Cameroun: Edwin N. Forelemu, International Tax Program, Harvard University, Cambridge
Canada: Brian J. Arnold, Univ. of Western Ontario Faculty of Law/Goodman Phillips & Vineberg, London, Ontario; François Vincent, Stikeman, Elliott, Montréal; Jack Bernstein, Aird & Berlis, Toronto, Ontario
Caribbean: Bruce Zagaris, Berliner, Corcoran, and Rowe, Washington, D.C.
Cayman Islands: Timothy Ridley, Maples & Calder Asia, Hong Kong
Chile: Barbara Vidaurre Miller, Tax Department, Santiago
China (P.R.C.): William Chan, PricewaterhouseCoopers, Hong Kong; David D. Liu, Sidley & Austin, Shanghai; Professor Jinyan Li, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario
Colombia: Mario Andrade and Mario A. de Castro, Deloitte & Touche, Santafé de Bogotá
Cook Islands: David R. McNair, Southpac Trust Limited, Rarotonga
Costa Rica: Humberto Pacheco, Pacheco Coto, San José
Croatia: Hrvoje Zgombic, Ernst & Young — Tax & Finance Consulting Ltd., Zagreb
Cyprus: Theodoros Philippou, PricewaterhouseCoopers, Nicosia
Czech Republic: Michal Dlouhy, White & Case, Prague
Denmark: Aage Michelsen, Department of Business Law, The Aarhus School of Business, Aarhus V
Dominican Republic: Dr. Fernando Ravelo Alvarez, Santo Domingo
Ecuador: Miguel Andrade, Estudio Jurídico Teran & Teran, Quito
Egypt: Farouk Metwally, Ernst & Young, Cairo
El Salvador: Horacio Alfredo Castellanos, Castellanos Campos y Cia, San Salvador
Estonia: Erki Uustalu, Estonian Taxpayers' Association and Leiden LL.M. Program in International Taxation, the Netherlands
European Union: Tracy Kaye, Basel; Nigel Tutt, Brussels; Joann Weiner, Brussels
Fiji: Bruce Sutton, KPMG Peat Marwick, Suva
Finland: Marjaana Helminen, University of Helsinki in the Faculty of Law, Helsinki
France: Patrick Donsimoni, Cabinet HSD Ernst & Young, Paris; Nicolas Not, Baker & McKenzie, Paris; Arnaud P. Jouanjan, Triplet et associés, Marseille
Republic of Gabon: Stéphane Brabant, PricewaterhouseCoopers, Libreville
Gambia: Samba Ebrima Saye, Income Tax Division, Banjul
Germany: Dr. Jörg-Dietrich Kramer, Federal Academy of Finance, Bonn; Rosemarie Portner, Oppenhoff & Rädler, Cologne; Georg Edelmann, KPMG, Frankfurt
Ghana: Seth Terkper, Ministry of Finance, Accra
Gibraltar: Charles D. Serruya, Ernst & Young, Gibraltar
Greece: Alexandra Gavrielides, Athens
Guam: Stephen A. Cohen, Assistant Attorney General and General Counsel for the Guam Department of Revenue and Taxation, Tamuning, Guam
Guernsey: Neil Crocker, PricewaterhouseCoopers, St. Peter Port
Guyana: Lancelot A. Atherly, Georgetown
Hong Kong: Jefferson P. VanderWolk, Baker & McKenzie, London; Michael Olesnick, Baker & McKenzie, Hong Kong
Hungary: Dr. Daniel Deák, College of Finance & Accountancy, Budapest
Iceland: Indridi H. Thorlakkson, Reykjavik
India: Nishith M. Desai, Nishith Desai Associates, Mumbai; Gagan Kumar Kawatra, Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry, New Delhi; Homi B. Mistry, Ernst & Young, New York
Indonesia: Hans G.M.M. Seeling, PricewaterhouseCoopers, Jakarta; Leonard Khaw, Deloitte Touche, Jakarta
Iran: Mohammad Reza Saveji, Vice Ministry of Economic Affairs and Finance, Teheran
Ireland: Mary Walsh, PricewaterhouseCoopers, Dublin
Isle of Man: Richard Vanderplank, Cains Advocates & Notaries, Douglas
Israel: Joel Lubell, Teva Pharmaceutical Industries, Ltd., Petach Tikva
Italy: Alessandro Adelchi Rossi and Luigi Perin, George R. Funaro & Co., P.C., New York
Japan: Gary Thomas, White & Case, Tokyo; Yoichi Ishizuka, Grant Thornton Japan, Tokyo; Kohji Mori, Mori Law & Tax Office, Tokyo
Jersey: J. Paul Frith, Ernst & Young, St. Helier
Kazakhstan: Robert M. Ames and Erlan B. Dosymbekov, Arthur Andersen, Almaty
Kenya: Graham Glenday, Fellow, Harvard Institute for International Development, Cambridge, Massachusetts; and Ministry of Finance, Nairobi
Korea: Yong Kyun Kim, PricewaterhouseCoopers, Seoul
Kuwait: Abdullah Kh. Al-Ayoub, Kuwait
Kyrgyzstan: Ian Slater, Arthur Andersen, Almaty
Latvia: Andrejs Birums, Tax Policy Department, Ministry of Finance, Riga
Lebanon: Fuad S. Kawar, Beirut
Libya: Ibrahim Baruni, Ibrahim Baruni & Co., Tripoli
Liechtenstein: Reto H. Silvani, Coopers & Lybrand, Liechtenstein
Lithuania: Nora Vitkuniene, International Tax Division, Ministry of Finance, Vilnius
Luxembourg: Julie Hornsby, Arthur Andersen & Co., Luxembourg
Madeira: Joac Machado, Ernst & Young, Funchal
Malawi: Clement L. Mononga, Assistant Commissioner of Tax, Blantyre
Malaysia: Veerinderjeet Singh, Arthur Andersen, Kuala Lumpur
Malta: Dr. Antoine Fiot, Zammit Tabona Bonello & Co., and Lecturer in Taxation, Faculty of Law, University of Malta, Valletta
Mauritius: Ram L. Roy, PricewaterhouseCoopers, Port Louis
Mexico: Manuel F. Solano, PricewaterhouseCoopers, Mexico City; Jaime Gonzalez-Bendixsen, Baker & McKenzie, Chihuahua; Ricardo Leon-Santacruz, Baker & McKenzie, Monterrey, Mexico
Middle East: Siraj Khan, Manama, Bahrain
Moldova: Victor Levintsa, Graham & Levintsa, Chisinau
Monaco: Eamon McGregor, Moores Rowland Corporate Services, Monte Carlo
Mongolia: Baldangiin Ganhuleg, General Department of State Taxation, Ministry of Finance, Ulaanbaatar
Morocco: Mohamed Marzak, Agadir
Myanmar: Timothy J. Holzer, Baker & McKenzie, Singapore
Nauru: Peter H. MacSparran, Melbourne
Nepal: Prem Karki, Ministry of Forest and Soil Conservation, Katmandu
Netherlands: Prof. Kees van Raad, Amsterdam; Eric van der Stoel, Allen & Overy, Amsterdam; Jan A. Ter Wisch, Allen & Overy, Amsterdam; Dick Hofland, Freshfields, Amsterdam; Michaela Vrouwenvelde, Loyens & Loeff, New York
Netherlands Antilles: Dennis Cijntje, KPMG Meijburg & Co., Curaçao; Koen Lozie, Deurle
New Zealand: Adrian Sawyer, University of Canterbury, Christchurch
Nigeria: Elias Aderemi Sulu, Lagos
Northern Mariana Islands: John A. Manglona, Saipan
Norway: Frederik Zimmer, Department of Public and International Law, University of Oslo, Oslo
Oman: Fadli R. Talyarkhan, Ernst & Young, Muscat
Pakistan: Ahmad Khan, Monopoly Control Authority, Islamabad
Panama: Leroy Watson, Arias, Fabrega & Fabrega, Panama City
Papua New Guinea: Lutz K. Heim, Ernst & Young, Port Moresby
Peru: Alex Morris, Rodrigo, Elias & Medrano, Abogados, Lima
Philippines: Benedicta Du Baladad, Bureau of Internal Revenue, Manila
Poland: Dr. Janusz Fiszer, Warsaw University/White & Case, Warsaw
Portugal: Francisco de Sousa da Câmara, Morais Leitao & J. Galvão Teles, Lisbon
Puerto Rico: José R. Cacho, O'Neill & Borges, Hato Rey; Rosa M. González, Lugo, O'Neil & Borges, San Juan
Qatar: Finbar Sexton, Ernst & Young, Doha
Romania: Sorin Adrian Anghel, Senior Finance Officer & Vice President, The Chase Manhattan Bank, Bucharest
Russia: Scott C. Antel, Arthur Andersen, Moscow; Joel McDonald, Salans Hertzfeld & Heilbronn, Moscow
Saint Kitts-Nevis: Mario M. Novello, Nevis Services Limited, Red Bank
Saudi Arabia: Fauzi Awad, Saba, Abulkhair & Co., Dammam
Sierra Leone: Shakib N.K. Basma and Berthan Macaulay, Basma & Macaulay, Freetown
Singapore: Linda Ng, White & Case, Tokyo, Japan
Slovakia: Alzbeta Bobáková, Senior Manager, KPMG New York
South Africa: Marius van Blerck, Johannesburg; Peter Surtees, Deneys Reitz, Roggebaai
Spain: José M. Calderón, University of La Coruña, La Coruña
Sri Lanka: D.D.M. Waidyasekera, Mt. Lavinia
Switzerland: Roger von der Weid, Baer & Karrer, Zurich
Taiwan: Keye S. Wu, Baker & McKenzie, Taipei; Yu Ming-i, Ministry of Finance, Taipei
Tanzania: Awadhi Mushi, Dar-es-Salaam
Thailand: Sam Lo Ricco, Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Jaiyos Co., Ltd., Bangkok
Trinidad & Tobago: Rolston Nelson, Port of Spain
Tunisia: Lassaad M. Bediri, Hamza, Bediri & Co., Legal and Tax Consultants, Tunis
Turkey: Mustafa Çamlıca, Arthur Andersen, Istanbul; Merih Cetinkaya, Moret Ernst & Young, Amsterdam
Turks & Caicos Islands, British West Indies: Ariel Misick, Misick and Stanbrook, Grand Turk
Uganda: Frederick Ssekandi, Kampala
Ukraine: Richard Marshall, Ernst & Young, Kiev
United Arab Emirates: Nicholas J. Love, Ernst & Young, Abu Dhabi
United Kingdom: Trevor Johnson, Trevor Johnson Associates, Wirral; Richard Pincher, Wragge & Co, Birmingham; George Hardy, Ernst & Young, Leeds
United States: Richard Doernberg, Emory Univ. School of Law, Atlanta GA.; James Fuller, Fenwick & West, Palo Alto; Philip Morrison, Deloitte & Touche, Washington, D.C.
U.S. Virgin Islands: Marjorie Rawls Roberts, Attorney at Law, St. Thomas, USVI
Uruguay: Alberto Barreix, International Tax Program, Harvard Law School, Cambridge, Massachusetts; Hugo Vallarino, Department of Tax Policy, Internal Revenue Service, Montevideo
Uzbekistan: Ian P. Slater, Arthur Andersen, Almaty
Vanuatu: Bill L. Hawkes, KPMG, Port Vila
Venezuela: Ronald Evans, Baker & McKenzie, Caracas
Vietnam: Frederick Burke and Thomas Treutler, Baker & McKenzie, Ho Chi Minh City
Western Samoa: Maiava V.R. Peteru, Kamu & Peteru, Apia
Yugoslavia: Danijel Pantic, Economic Institute, Belgrade
Zambia: W Z Mwanza, KPMG Peat Marwick, Lusaka
Zimbabwe: Prof. Ben Hlatshwayo, University of Zimbabwe, Harare

tax notes international



Italy Enacts Major Changes in International Taxation With the 2000 Tax Bill

by Luigi Perin

Luigi Perin is with the International Tax Services Group, George R. Funaro & Co., P.C. in New York.

On November 8, the Italian Parliament finally passed into law the long-awaited tax bill for 2000, which was submitted by the government November 15, 1999, and which is part of the Annual Fiscal Law for 2000. The tax bill is not to be confused with the recently proposed draft of the year 2001 tax bill (for prior coverage, see *Tax Notes Int'l*, Oct. 16, 2000, p. 1756, or 2000 WTD 197-4, or Doc 2000-26137 (5 original pages)), which is part of the Annual Fiscal Law for 2001.

The original tax bill, proposed by both chambers of the Italian Parliament, went through several amendments in the past months before the Parliament produced the final text, which is comprised of 102 articles.

The tax bill introduces important changes to the tax treatment of Italian outbound international transactions. The principal changes concern the introduction of controlled foreign company (CFC) legislation, the amendment of certain antiavoidance provisions for transactions incurred by Italian enterprises with tax havens, and the broadening of the participation exemption provisions. The tax bill also confirms the repeal of the foreign earned income exclusion for Italian individuals employed abroad.

Among other domestic provisions is a drastic reform of the Italian estate and gift tax system.

CFC Legislation

In response to the 1998 OECD report, "Harmful Tax Competition: An Emerging Global Issue," encouraging countries without CFC rules to introduce them, Italy has enacted antideferral legislation. The Italian CFC rules adopt a jurisdictional approach, concentrating on the location of the subsidiary company, as opposed to the U.S. CFC rules that adopt substantially a transactional approach, concentrating on the nature of the income.

The new provisions, which introduce article 127 bis to the Italian Income Tax Code,¹ provide that when an Italian resident controls a company, business, or other entity that is either resident or located in countries or territories enjoying preferential tax treatment, the income earned by such controlled foreign entity is attributed pro rata, based on their respective investments, to the Italian residents. The above provisions also apply to income earned through permanent establishments enjoying preferential tax treatment.

¹Testo Unico delle Imposte sui Redditi, approved by Decreto del Presidente della Repubblica No. 917 of December 22, 1986.

For the definition of “control,” reference is made to article 2359 of the Italian Civil Code, defining control as ownership of the majority of voting rights, ownership of voting rights sufficient to exercise a dominant influence at the ordinary shareholders’ meeting, or dominant influence by special contractual ties with the other company.

As a result, the definition of control for purposes of the CFC rules is different from the broad definition applicable under the transfer pricing provisions.²

A blacklist to be issued by the Italian Minister of Finance will indicate countries and territories with “preferential tax regimes.” The new rules provide that the term “preferential tax regime” is to be interpreted as a tax regime providing a lower level of taxation as compared to the one applicable in Italy, no adequate exchange of information, or other similar criteria.

As a result, it appears as if the tax authorities will have broad discretion in preparing the blacklist. Presumably, the list will be inspired by the OECD list, identifying tax haven jurisdictions that have not cooperated with the organization’s campaign against harmful tax practices, and it will include the countries that are listed in a different blacklist, which is in effect for certain antiavoidance provisions discussed below. It not clear yet whether the blacklist of the Italian authorities will include preferential tax regimes among OECD member states that can be considered as constituting harmful tax practices.

The CFC rules will not apply to the income earned through low-taxed persons that are primarily engaged in actual industrial or trading activities within the country or territory in which their place of business is located. Also, the CFC rules do not apply if the taxpayer can prove that the investment in the CFC does not have, as a result, the sheltering of income in countries or territories with preferential tax treatment. Under all of the above circumstances, Italian taxpayers must apply for a ruling.³

The CFC income attributed to an Italian resident will be taxed according to the taxpayer’s average tax rate, but at a rate no lower than 27 percent. The most controversial issue of the new provisions appears to lie on the definition of person (*soggetto*) for purposes of computing control. Absent constructive ownership rules within the framework of the Italian tax system, it is not clear to what extent ownership by separate

persons may be aggregated for purposes of establishing the required level of control and imputing the income pro rata.

Another critical issue that needs clarification concerns the mechanics of distributions and adjustments to basis with respect to the stock of a CFC. In general, under U.S. rules, the basis in the stock of a CFC is increased by the amount of CFC income included in the U.S. shareholder’s taxable income, as if a dividend had been paid and then recontributed to the CFC, and reduced by amounts actually distributed that constitute previously taxed earnings. The tax bill does not provide any specific provisions addressing this issue.

Transactions With Tax Havens

The tax bill substantially modifies the provisions contained in article 76(7 bis) of the Italian Income Tax Code regulating the disallowance of costs and expenses for transactions incurred by Italian businesses with affiliated companies located in tax havens.

First, the new provisions replace the term “company” (*societa*) with the term “business” (*impresa*). As a result, transactions incurred with persons other than companies — for example, permanent establishments or individual entrepreneurs — that are based in tax havens will come within the scope of the antiavoidance provisions. Most importantly, the new provisions do not require, in order for the deduction to be disallowed, that the nonresident trade or business be an affiliate of the Italian taxpayer incurring the expense.

In addition, a revised blacklist, inspired by the same broad criteria of the blacklist to be used for purposes of the CFC rules discussed above, will indicate the countries and territories deemed to have a preferential tax regime and will replace the blacklist in effect.⁴

Finally, the new provisions limit the scope of the safe-harbor exceptions to the general rule. The current law provides that deductions will not be disallowed if it is proved that either the nonresident entity is, in effect, primarily engaged in an active trade or business, or that the transactions incurred with the nonresident entity actually took place in the best economic interest of the Italian resident.

For the safe-harbor exception to apply, the new provisions require that the nonresident be primarily engaged in actual industrial or trading activities within the market of the country or territory in which

²For a discussion of the definition of control under Italian transfer pricing rules, see A.A. Rossi — L. Perin, *Transfer Pricing International: A Country-by-Country Guide*, 25-4, (edited by R. Feinschreiber, J. Wiley & Sons, 2000).

³Pursuant to Article 11 of Law No. 212 of August 27, 2000.

⁴Released with Decreto Ministeriale of April 22, 1992.

it is located. Such revised language is similar to the language used for purposes of the safe-harbor provision to the CFC rules discussed above. Not only must the nonresident be primarily engaged in industrial or trading activities, but it must be so engaged within the market of the country or territory where its place of business is located. A literal interpretation of the new provision would deny deductions for purchases of goods and services from unrelated parties that are residents of tax havens, even if these parties actually carry out real economic activities (for example, banking, trading) simply because they do not engage in industrial or trading activities within the market of the country or territory where their business is located.

Participation Exemption

Article 96 of the Italian Income Tax Code provides that, subject to certain requirements, only 40 percent of the dividends paid to Italian corporate shareholders by non-EU foreign affiliates not located in tax havens are includable in taxable income. This results in a 14.8 percent effective tax rate (40 percent x 37 percent; 37 percent is the regular corporate income tax).

Article 96 bis of the Italian Income Tax Code, implementing the European Union Parent-Subsidiary Directive,⁵ provides that, subject to certain requirements, only 5 percent of the dividends paid to Italian corporate shareholders by companies resident in the European Union are includable in taxable income. This results in a 1.85 percent effective Italian tax rate (5 percent x 37 percent).

In the past several years, it has been common for Italian multinational groups with non-European affiliates to hold their overseas investments through intermediate EU holding companies located in countries with broader participation exemption regimes (for example, the Netherlands, Luxembourg). This type of ownership structure permitted the groups to benefit from the 95 percent exclusion, provided by article 96 bis, for dividends that would otherwise be entitled to only the 60 percent exclusion discussed above.

The new provisions extend the 95 percent exclusion to dividends received by Italian corporate shareholders from foreign affiliates that are residents of countries included in a "white-list" of non-tax-haven jurisdictions to be issued by the Italian Minister of Finance. The "white-list" should be the approximate corollary of the blacklist discussed above, identifying countries or territories with preferential tax regimes.

Accordingly, prerequisites to achieve "white-list" status will be the existence of both a level of taxation comparable with Italy's and an adequate exchange-of-information system.

Unlike regimes in effect in other EU countries, the new Italian participation exemption will not provide relief from taxation of capital gains arising from the sale of shares of subsidiaries. The absence of this additional exemption will still make other EU countries attractive to Italian groups for purposes of establishing a holding company. However, if as a result of the new CFC provisions, capital gains untaxed in other EU countries are taxed directly to the Italian resident, the principal incentives for Italian multinationals to hold their overseas investments through an EU intermediate holding company will eventually disappear.

Repeal of the Foreign Earned Income Exclusion

In 1997, Italy repealed the foreign earned income exclusion, with provisions effective January 1, 2001.⁶ As a result, beginning next year, income from dependent personal services performed exclusively abroad on a continuous basis by Italian residents will no longer be excluded from taxable income.

The government report on the new law acknowledged the practical difficulties that would stem from the repeal, which could eventually result in double taxation for Italian residents who, although resident in Italy for tax purposes, are working in another country in which they are subject to tax. Since the new provisions were passed in 1997, other provisions have been enacted in an attempt to eliminate or reduce potential issues of double taxation.⁷ However, during this period, Italy has failed to introduce provisions that would effectively improve its foreign tax credit mechanism, the defects of which are responsible for not alleviating double taxation issues.

The tax bill repeals the provisions that were previously enacted to reduce double taxation issues, and it introduces new provisions. According to the new rules, dependent personal services performed exclusively abroad on a continuous basis, by Italian residents abroad for at least 183 days during a 12-month period, will not be taxed based on the actual salaries earned. Instead, they will be taxed based on predetermined amounts to be set by an ad hoc ministerial decree.

⁶See Article 5 of Decreto Legislativo No. 314 of September 2, 1997.

⁷See Article 15 of Decreto Legislativo No. 505 of December 23, 1999.

⁵EU Directive 90/435, introduced in Italy with Decreto Legislativo No. 136 of March 6, 1993.

Estate and Gift Tax Reform

The estate and gift tax reform is the result of long and controversial debates concerning the inefficiencies of estate and gift tax collection in Italy. The lower rates are intended to encourage voluntary compliance with the law and to increase the overall tax base.

Estate tax rates drop to 4 percent for spouses, lineal descendants, and ancestors. Higher rates of 6 percent and 8 percent apply to other categories of beneficiaries.

Similarly, gift tax rates drop to 3 percent, 5 percent, and 7 percent, depending on the degree of relationship of the beneficiary to the donor. An ITL 350 million per beneficiary exemption is introduced for gifts.

From an international taxation perspective, the new provisions specifically allow for the taxation of gifts that are perfected abroad in favor of Italian resident beneficiaries. ♦